

Metropolitan Washington Council AFL-CIO

2018 Prince Georges/Montgomery Counties PRIMARY ELECTIONS

CANDIDATE QUESTIONNAIRE

Overview:

The Metropolitan Washington Council, AFL-CIO, is comprised of 180 local unions representing working people in all public industry sectors. The Metropolitan Washington Council AFL-CIO's priorities are outlined below:

- creating family sustaining jobs for all;
- investing in education, infrastructure, healthcare and transportation;
- improving the lives of workers through education, quality job training, career
- advancement and livable wages with good benefits;
- ensuring fair, progressive tax policies;
- making high-quality, affordable healthcare available to everyone;
- holding corporations and government more accountable to ensure that the public good
- is served by taxpayer dollars; and
- ensuring that a worker's universal right to organize and to bargain collectively for
- wages, hours and conditions of work are maintained and enforced.

Metropolitan Washington Council AFL-CIO

2018 CANDIDATE'S QUESTIONNAIRE

| GENER | AT. | INFOR | MA | TION. |
|-------|-----|-------|----|-------|
| | | | | |

| Name Sean Chao |
|--|
| Address504 Bricker Drive, Fort Washington MD 20744 |
| City / CountyFort Washington, MD Zip20744 |
| Phone: _614-340-1278 Mobile _240-432-2470 Email _chaoformaryland@gmail.com |
| Candidate for: District 26 Delegate for the Maryland House of Delegates |
| Campaign Committee Name and Address: <u>Citizens for Sean Chao; 504 Bricker Drive, Fort Washington MD 20744</u> |
| Campaign Committee Phone:240-432-2470 Email / Webchaoformaryland@gmail.com |
| Campaign Manager: <u>Cynthia Adinig</u> |
| Campaign Treasurer: Cynthia Adinig |
| Does your campaign accept PAC contributions? <u>We have not accepted any PAC contributions a this time</u> |
| Previously elected / appointed office: |
| Office <u>Tantallon Estates HOA - President</u> Term <u>2013-Present</u> |
| Have you ever received labor's endorsement? No When? |
| PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY YOU SEEK LABOR'S ENDORSEMENT: I believe I am an excellent choice to endorse because I am a strong advocate of the value of labor unions and the strength they bring to not just their members, but to America as a nation. I am fully aware of the many contributions that unions have brought, from the 40-hour work week to the ever ongoing batt for fair and reasonable wages. Having me on your side will be a partnership that will deliver result time and time again. |
| SIGNATURE: DATE: _21 MAR 2018 |

Please return your signed questionnaire by Friday, March 24, 2018 to the below address:

Metropolitan Washington Council, AFL-CIO

Attn.: David Dzidzienyo

815 16th St NW, Washington, DC, 20006

Submissions can also be e-mail to ddzidzienyo@dclabor.org

2018 Candidate Questionnaire

A. Workplace Rights: (Please answer by checking the box)

There are certain basic workplace rights that the unions and members of the Maryland State and District of Columbia AFL-CIO believe are fundamental and absolutely essential. Collective bargaining elections and representation are permitted for private sector employees, whereas for public sector employees in Maryland, legislation is required in order to have those same rights. Over the past decade, these rights have been given to many state, higher education and K-12 public school education employees and some county employees. However, thousands of public sector employees are still denied these rights.

| 1. | collective bargaining rights (including exclusivity, binding arbitration and an independent labor board) for all public employees-local, county, school boards and state? | | | | |
|----|---|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Support | □ Oppose | □ Not sure | | |
| W] | hat is your position on eac | h of these fundamental rights | 8? | | |
| 2. | The right of workers to o | rganize and have union repre | esentation | | |
| | Support | \Box Oppose | □ Not sure | | |
| 3. | The right to freely exercidelays | se workplace rights free fron | n harassment, intimidation and/or | | |
| | Support | □ Oppose | □ Not sure | | |
| 4. | The right to bargain colle faith | ectively with a legal obligation | on on both sides to negotiate in good | | |
| | Support | □ Oppose | □ Not sure | | |
| 5. | The right to resolve diffe arbitration | rences in a fair, impartial and | d timely manner, including binding | | |
| | Support | □ Oppose | \square Not sure | | |

The National Labor Relations Act grants private sector workers the right to organize themselves and be represented by a union in collective bargaining negotiations. From time to time, opponents of collective bargaining propose legislation to curtail workers' bargaining strength and effectiveness. Under the innocuous sounding name of "Right-to-Work," this legislation purports to protect the rights of workers and improve the state's economic development climate. But the net result in Right-to Work states is that workers earn less, have fewer health care benefits and have higher job fatality rates. Right to Work laws prohibit workers from negotiating a "union shop" or "union security" clause in their contracts. Such a clause obligates those in the bargaining unit to pay their fair share of the collective bargaining representation costs. By wiping out that protection, Right to Work actually weakens the rights of working people.

| out that pr | oteetion, ragnit to work | detailly weakens the 11g. | nts of working people. | |
|---|--|--|---|-----------------|
| 6. | Would you support Ri | ght to Work in Maryland | ? | |
| | $\Box Yes$ | ■ No | □ Not sure | |
| legislative to give ran requiring bureaucran | e voice through a friend nk and file members m unions to spend an tic hoops. The net effe | ly sounding proposal call nore control over how the incalculable amount of | years to stifle labor's political and "paycheck protection." It proposes dues money is spent. It does so time and money jumping through it virtually impossible for unions has. | ses by gh |
| 7. | What is your position | on the so-called paycheck | protection idea? | |
| | | Oppose | □ Not sure | |
| from the | state. Yet, unlike K-12 | <u> </u> | law and receive part of their fundi s in each county, community colle llective bargaining. | _ |
| 8. | What is your position employees? | on granting collective ba | argaining rights to community colle | ge |
| | Support | □ Oppose | □ Not sure | |
| XX 71 | ulvana alaa aaa an awalii | sissa aallaatissa kanaainin. | - manusantativa that vuian is lass | 11 |

When workers choose an exclusive collective bargaining representative, that union is legally obligated to represent every worker in the unit. Even when some people choose to be non-members, the union must represent them fully and fairly. In other words, those who pay dues are obligated to subsidize those who don't.

| | 9. | · - | of union representation | nitted to collect a service fee from non- n as long as there are protections for those |
|----|---|---|---|--|
| | | Yes | \square No | □ Not sure |
| D | Ugalth (| 'aras (Plaasa answar by | charling the box) | |
| в. | The finant ability of This inclusuifficient patients a Another retimes in the one clear would you clinics? I Do you sacute care enact new | clinicians to make head ides a pervasive practic number of registered n and studies show incre- manifestation of this pro- he country, according to cause of this is underso u pursue to protect and Do you support mandat upport measures to ling hospitals to nursing ho | forming the health of the care decisions based by hospital corporares taking care of assess morbidity and oblem is that Maryla to the US federal Certaffing in the ERs and improve the qualitatory, minimum nurse it unsafe, premature mes or other sub-acuital patients warehood | are industry increasingly undermine the sed strictly on the needs of their patients. The rations not to have on shift at all times a patients, a practice which is dangerous for mortality rates among hospital patients. The rational has the longest emergency room wait sters for Medicare and Medicaid Services; do ther units of the hospitals. What steps y of patient care in county hospitals and to-patient ratios in acute-care hospitals? In discharges of patients or transfers from the facilities? Do you support legislation to used in "observation status" with fewer |
| | tens of m deductible of uninsur of Medica Maryland situation requested Congressi pass (unsumillion p exchanges among ind than most | aillions more across the state properly them from the state benefit in Maryland, it is state in | e country have inace m seeking care. While efore the passage of till unacceptable to the following of the first, the state's dom't the Maryland Insurate sought to make a been federal legislation see in Maryland diese Republican project number of health | Marylanders, have no health insurance and equate insurance with high co-pays and e this is an improvement from the number he Affordable Care Act and the expansion he state's registered nurses. Over 10% of all cannot afford to see a doctor.[1] This inant health insurance carrier, obtains its nee Administration. President Trump and ad situation much worse by attempting to a that will deny care to an additional 22 ependent on Medicaid and the ACA bosed changes, the US still ranks poorly indicators, despite paying more per capita |
| | ac | • | r universal health care | right? Are you in favor of a publicly- system? Will you champion legislation to |
| | | ■ Yes | \square No | □ Not sure |

2. Nurses have some of the highest rates of work-related, musculoskeletal injuries of any

| | li | | pment, and that also pr | d require that hospitals institute and utilize rotects the rights of nurses to speak out | |
|----|---|---|--|---|---|
| | | ■ Yes | \square No | □Not sure | |
| | p fi so in h ro c e p so | roportions. The Burea com occupational assa ettings. Healthcare wor industries combined, to ave passed comprehe egistered nurses and of nust mandate that eve onjunction with regist ffect at all times in e rocedures, annual pro- ufficient staffing to r | u of Labor Statistics shall ults and violent acts of rkers specifically are five be the victim of assault ensive workplace violeher healthcare workers. The hospital develops a ered nurses that is unit very unit. It must include ogram evaluation, inspessoond to workplace violents and very unit. | care settings have risen to epidemic nowed that 48% of all non-fatal injuries occurred in healthcare and social service the times more likely, than all other major to the transfer. California is the only state to the ence prevention legislation to protect. In order to be effective, such a standard workplace violence prevention plan in the pulse to the needs of each unit, and is in the hazard identification and correction person training for all employees and violence incidents. Do you support the ence prevention standard for healthcare | |
| | | Yes | \square No | □ Not sure | |
| | | a care costs continue to ealth care services. | o escalate, many Maryl | anders do not have access to affordable | |
| | 4. V | □ Leave it to□ Do nothing■ Adopt a state | the federal government g/monitor the situation ate universal health care verage to the uninsured | | |
| D. | Education | on: (Please answer by | checking the box) | | |
| | 1. | Would you support | returning to an all-electe | d Board of Education for PGCPS? | |
| | | ■ Yes | \square No | □ Not sure | |
| | 2. | | - | the Country Executive from PGCPS? Including tendent as outlined by the State. | g |
| | | ■ Yes | \square No | □ Not sure | |
| | 3. | <u> </u> | - | roviding a budget that will fully support I the necessary resources needed? | |

| | Metropolita Questionnai | | : AFL-CIO 2018 PG/Montgo | omery Counties Primary Election Candidate | |
|----|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------|
| | | ■Yes | \Box No | □ Not sure | |
| | 4. Do | o you support collec | ctive bargaining for tead | chers in Charter Schools? | |
| | progress has | been made since 19 | - | ☐ Not sure ducation systems in the country. Muent of the Thornton Commission and mendations. | |
| | 5. Do | o you support Full l | Funding for Thornton? | | |
| | | ■ Yes | \square No | □ Not sure | |
| C. | Accountabili | ty/Economic Deve | elopment: (Please answ | er by checking the box) | |
| | accountability | - | _ | at improving government transparency ojects. Many states and municipalities | |
| | As an elected apply): | l County official, v | vill you introduce and | support legislation to (Please check a | all that |
| | reporting | | ial outcomes with regar | sidy deal as originally granted, and ann d to jobs created, wages and benefits p | |
| | | e number of new fu | | relopment projects receiving County su be created for a specified level of finan- | |
| | such as th | e number of hours | | elopment projects receiving County sul r week, provision of paid leave, provis rage standards | |
| | Recapt requireme | | idies if a recipient fails | to achieve its job creation, wage and h | ealthcare |
| | Require | e annual reporting of | of companies receiving | County property tax abatements and re | eductions |
| | | | | evelopment budget that provides a it spending all in one place | |

E. Standing with the Democratic Party: (Please check all that apply)

Every four years, the Democratic Party establishes its party platform—the ideas and beliefs that govern the party as a whole. In 2016, the platform included the following tenets related to workers. As a Prince George's County councilmember and member of the Democratic Party, please indicate which of the following you support.

- Make it easier for workers to exercise their right to organize and join unions
- Bring companies to the negotiating table
- Support binding arbitration to help workers who have voted to join a union reach a first contract
- Oppose so-called "right to work" laws
- Vigorously oppose any efforts to roll-back prevailing wage standards
- Defend the right of workers to collect their defined benefit pensions and ensure workers get priority and protection when pension plans fail
- Make investments to spur the creation of jobs for our young people
- Fight to ensure every American has access to quality, affordable health care
- Push for more educational benefits and job training for veterans

THIS SECTION APPLIES ONLY TO MONTGOMERY COUNTY CANDIDATES

F. Extending Montgomery County's Prevailing Wage Law to Include School Construction: (Please answer by checking the box)

Prevailing wage laws assure that workers on public works projects are paid a wage that is most common or "prevailing" for a specific job in a specific geographic location. They prevent contractors from undermining local employment by low bidding or bringing in workers at lower wages.

MCPS is budgeting its school construction projects to avoid paying prevailing wages—seeking to achieve cost savings by paying construction workers as little as possible in a county with a high cost of living.

Maryland enacted its prevailing wage legislation in 1945. Montgomery County enacted its prevailing wage law in 2008, but excluded school construction projects. Consequently, MCPS construction is governed solely by the State's prevailing wage law. The threshold for applying the State's prevailing wage law occurs when the state funds 75% or more of total project costs.

According to OLO Report 2017-4, "New School Construction Costs," by Stephanie Bryant, to avoid paying prevailing wages on its school construction projects, MCPS does not request more than 24.9 percent of state funding for any school projects.

| 1. | legislation to c | • | ected official, will you introduce and support ole and extend the County's prevailing wage law to etion projects? |
|----|------------------|--------------|---|
| | □ Yes | □ No | ☐ Other (explain below) |
| (| Comments: | | |
| | | | |
| N | N/A – Not a MC | GC candidate | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Metropol Question | | AFL-CIO 2018 PG/Mor | ntgomery Counties Primary Election Cand | idate |
|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | |
| Establishin | g a Montgomery Co | ounty PLA Ordinan | ce: (Please answer by checking the | box) |
| also have th | e added benefit of bu | ilding community pa | to bring in projects on time and on artnerships with contractors and universer path for residents. | • |
| the DC Uni South Capit HOT Lanes from projec | ted Soccer Stadium, tol Street Bridge in Winginia, among c | he Wizards Practice ashington, DC; the lathers. This pipeline ds and another begin | ecuring PLAs on a wide range of pr Facility, the Northeast Boundary T Purple Line in suburban Maryland; of projects enables laborer apprenti s, accumulating the hours they need ng wages. | unnel, and the and the 395 ces to move |
| County Exe \$1 million of funded cons | cutive has had expressor more. In the Distric | es authority since 20 et of Columbia, a law ned at \$75 million or | s. For example, in Prince George's 11 to execute PLAs on County-fund was passed in 2016 requiring PLA more, unless the Mayor indicates i strict. | led projects of as on city- |
| t 1 | to require PLAs on C | ounty construction p indicates in writing | l, will you introduce and support learojects valued at \$20 million or mo why a PLA on a particular project | re unless |
| | $\Box Yes$ | \square No | ☐ Other (explain below) | |
| | Comments: | | | |
| | N/A – Not a MGC | candidate | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

G.

| Metropol Question | | il: AFL-CIO 2018 PG/Montgomery Counties Primary Election Candida | te |
|----------------------|-------------------------|--|-----|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 2. | Will you sion PLA | s per Current county law? | |
| 2. | □Yes | □ No | |
| 3. | Will you adopt app | enticeship readiness programs with RFP's on County project | ts? |
| | $\Box Yes$ | \square No | |
| | | | |

| Metropolitan Washington Council: AFL-CIO 2018 PG/Montgomery Counties Primary Election Candidate Questionnaire |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| THIS SECTION APPLIES ONLY TO PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY CANDIDATES |
| H. Strengthening Prince George's County's PLA Ordinance: (Please answer by checking the box) |
| Project Labor Agreements (PLAs) have been proven to bring in projects on time and on budget. They also have the added benefit of building community partnerships with contractors and unions to hire locally, provide family healthcare, pensions, and a career path for residents. |
| Across the DMV, LiUNA has been successful securing PLAs on a wide range of projects, including |

Acros the DC United Soccer Stadium, the Wizards Practice Facility, the Northeast Boundary Tunnel, and the South Capitol Street Bridge in Washington, DC; the Purple Line in Maryland; and the 395 HOT Lanes in Virginia, among others. This pipeline of projects enables laborer apprentices to move from project to project as one ends and another begins, accumulating the hours they need to graduate and become journey workers earning family-supporting wages.

While the County Executive for Prince George's County has had the express authority since 2011 to execute PLAs on County-funded projects of \$1 million or more, only one project (the Brandywine Firehouse in 2012) was built with a PLA. There has been virtually no effort by the County Executive to utilize this authority since then.

| 1. | legislation to require Pl | LAs on County cor Executive indicat | cial, will you introduce and support astruction projects valued at \$20 milles in writing why a PLA on a particuf residents? | |
|----|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| | ■ Yes | \square No | ☐ Other (explain below) | |

| Comments: | | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Comments. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Prince George's County has pockets of poverty and high unemployment. According to *The Workforce Landscape in Prince George's County*, nearly 10 percent of residents live in poverty, and many of the communities that border the District of Columbia have unemployment rates in the double-digits, including Oxon Hill, Bladensburg, Capitol Heights, and Hyattsville.

Despite these dire statistics, the County's local hiring ordinance only sets "best efforts," aspirational goals for County contractors. In contrast, other jurisdictions that have adopted local hiring ordinances have established very specific requirements for their contractors, and levy fines when violations occur. Some of these jurisdictions include the District of Columbia, San Francisco, Pittsburgh, Seattle, and Milwaukee.

2. As a Prince George's County elected official, will you introduce and support legislation to amend the County's local hiring and apprenticeship ordinances to set firm requirements

| rather than "best efforts" or aspirational goals for County contractors? | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| ■ Yes | \square No | ☐ Other (explain below) | | |
| Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

| 3. | Short-term rental companies like, Airbnb, have grown exponentially in the last few years. In order to protect our neighborhoods and communities against commercial operators who convert valuable housing to de facto hotels, do you support stronger regulations of short-term rentals that would track short-term rentals, limit them to primary residences, and put a reasonable cap on the amount of days a host can share their home while not present? | | | |
|----|--|--------------|-------------------------|--|
| | ■ Yes | \square No | ☐ Other (explain below) | |
| | Comments: | | | |

| 4. | During the past several years, real estate developers have taken advantage of Prince Ge County by seeking financial subsidies for low-quality, sprawl developments with no protections to ensure high-quality jobs are created or that workers are treated fairly. The approach is exacerbating inequality in the County. Would you require developers to high standards for job quality, and work to ensure the right of their employees to join a without fear or intimidation, whenever the County is involved in development projects. | | | |
|----|--|--------------|-------------------------|--|
| | ■ Yes | \square No | ☐ Other (explain below) | |
| | Comments: | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

- **I. Transportation:** (Please provide your response on a separate sheet).
 - 1. Going into WMATA's FY2019 budget season, politicians, community groups, labor, and business groups have weighed in on the dedicated funding debate. If you are elected, what would you propose to provide WMATA dedicated funding, and how would you navigate the politics of the situation and unite the jurisdictions around your proposal?

Response: There are a number of ways that Maryland can approach this complex issue. A few possible actions that can sustain a 'dedicated' effort: (1) increasing the automobile gas tax; (2) adding a special assessment fee as part of property taxes; and (3) increasing the sales tax. The application of these aforementioned taxes can be applied in any number of ways, be it state-wide, region-wide, county-wide, and so on.

2. In the last few months, there have been several major safety concerns that have been raised publicly?

Response: The shooting at Great Mills High School here in Maryland – the most recent example of an unfortunate trend of school shootings – is an issue that is a major safety concern. It is a concern for our students, who are now traumatized and unable to learn. It is also a concern for teachers and faculty, who now must deal with the aftermath of the situation by trying to console their students, continue their teaching plans, and take any additional precautions to ensure physical safety. There needs to be policies and funding put into place that (1) minimizes the risk of these shootings and (2) mitigates the potential for high casualties during such events. I will work with colleagues to make this happen.

3. ATU Local 689 leadership and members. From operator assaults to issues with the 7000 series trains, the public has seen that WMATA management doesn't seem to be able to create a true safety culture at WMATA. How would you work with and support employees who raise safety concerns and are ignored?

Response: Create an independent oversight committee that accepts anonymous employee complaints and concerns and then performs investigations on potential failings of WMATA management.

4. In addition, how would you work with labor to help tackle the larger issue of establishing a safety culture at WMATA and solving some of the recent safety issues, like operator assault, that have been brought to the forefront?

Response: First, I would engage with WMATA management and identify areas that are at elevated risk of crime. Second, I will review the current policies and practices in place for law enforcement support of WMATA assets and personnel. Third, I will reallocate existing resources to focus on areas that are most vulnerable to criminal activity. Fourth, I will push for financial resources to be spent on upgrading WMATA assets that mitigates the potential for assaults on operators, such as enclosing WMATA bus operators into a cockpit-styled construct.

5. With the recent revelation that WMATA and ATU Local 689 have reached an impasse in negotiations due to their inability to agree regarding the employee's defined benefit pension, many politicians and advocates for privatization have tried to make a case for lowering "labor costs" by eliminating hard earned, very basic worker protections. How would you champion worker protections and change the narrative surrounding "labor costs" and defined benefit pension costs?

Response: I will fight to ensure that there is a defined benefit pension kept in place for all current and future ATU Local 689 members. I will engage both sides and attempt to broker an amenable deal that, in addition to ensuring a continued defined benefit pension, will also allow give union members an opportunity to take advantage of 401K-styled plans with matching contributions by WMATA. I am envisioning a system similar to what was put into place beginning in 2018 for military service members, providing greater flexibility and potential earnings for the member while also providing a sustainable long-term framework for WMATA.

6. In the last six months, there has been a lot of discussion surrounding the possibility of the establishment of a federal control board to run WMATA. If that happened, the possibility of eliminating legally binding labor contracts would become a reality, endangering thousands of workers. What are your thoughts regarding the takeover of a federal control board and would you be open to adding a labor seat to the WMATA Board?

Response: I am not in favor of a federal control board. Separately, I support adding a labor or labor advocate seat to the WMATA board.

J. Bail Reform: (Please provide your response on a separate sheet).

Under the current money bail system, judges in Maryland typically set financial conditions of release, with little consideration as to whether the defendant can meet them. Defendants must then either pay the court or a commercial bail bondsman to get out of jail. Those who can't afford bond often remain incarcerated until their cases go to trial, sometimes for periods of weeks or longer.

1. Maryland's highest court approved key changes to the state's bail system, setting into motion a reform designed to keep defendants from languishing in jail before trial simply because they're poor, do you support Bail Reform Efforts?

Response: I support bail reform efforts, with particular regard to non-violent and non-felony charged defendants.

K. Confederate Monuments and Memorials: (Please provide your response on a separate sheet).

At the federal level, legislation is being proposed that would prohibit the use of taxpayer funds for the creation, maintenance, or display of any Confederate symbols on Federal property. The Federal proposal defines "Confederate symbol" as a Confederate battle flag, any symbol or other signage that honors the Confederacy, any monument or statue that honors a Confederate leader or soldier or the Confederate States of America. Localities across the nation are having this debate.

2. What is your position on this matter?

Response: I support the prohibition of taxpayer funds for the creation, maintenance, or display of any Confederate symbols on Federal property, with the exception of museums or situations strictly reserved for academic purposes.

3. Should there be legislation removing Confederate symbols from libraries, parks, streets, and public buildings?

Response: I support removing Confederate symbols in any situation that implicitly or explicitly honors or glorifies them.

| Candidate (Please Print Legibly): Sean Chao | |
|---|--|
| Signature: | |
| Date:21 MAR 2018 | |
| Thank you. | |